



$$\text{st: } C_{12a} = C_{4a} \circ C_{2a} = (E_{1a}, D_{1a}) \circ (E_{2a}, D_{2a}) = \\ = (E_{1a} \cdot E_{2a} \bmod p, D_{1a} \cdot D_{2a} \bmod p).$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{34B} &= C_{3B} \circ C_{4B} = (E_{3B}, D_{3B}) \circ (E_{4B}, D_{4B}) = \\ &= (E_{3B} \cdot E_{4B} \bmod p, D_{3B} \cdot D_{4B} \bmod p). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{If } m_{12} = m_1 + m_2 \bmod (p-1) = m_{34} = m_3 + m_4 \bmod (p-1)$$

$$n_{12} = n_1 \cdot n_2 \bmod p \quad \underline{\underline{n_{34} = n_3 \cdot n_4 \bmod p}}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} C_{12a} \\ \cancel{=} \\ C_{34B} \end{array}$$

st: must prove that C_{12a} and C_{34B} encrypts the same product

$$n = n_{12} = n_{34}$$

It is named as ciphertexts equivalence proof.

$$\begin{aligned} C_{12a} &= (n_1 a \bmod p \cdot n_2 a^i \bmod p, g^{i_1 \bmod p} \cdot g^{i_2 \bmod p}) \\ &= (n \cdot n^{i_1+i_2} \bmod p, g^{i_1+i_2 \bmod p}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_{12a} &= (n_1 a \text{ mod } p \cdot n_2 a \text{ mod } p, g \text{ mod } p \circ g^{-1} \text{ mod } p) \\
 &= (n_1 \cdot n_2 a^{i_1+i_2} \text{ mod } p, g^{i_1+i_2} \text{ mod } p) = \\
 &= (\underbrace{n_{12} a^{i_1+i_2} \text{ mod } p}_{E_{12a}}, \underbrace{g^{i_1+i_2} \text{ mod } p}_{D_{12a}})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{34\beta} &= (n_3 \beta^{i_3} \text{ mod } p \cdot n_4 \beta^{i_4} \text{ mod } p, g^{i_3} \text{ mod } p \cdot g^{i_4} \text{ mod } p) = \dots \\
 &= (\underbrace{n_{34} \beta^{i_3+i_4} \text{ mod } p}_{E_{34\beta}}, \underbrace{g^{i_3+i_4} \text{ mod } p}_{D_{34\beta}})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \text{A: } Pk_A = a & \text{B: is able to encrypt } m \text{ to A: } m < p \\
 \text{B: } r \leftarrow \text{randi}(\mathbb{Z}_p^*); \mathbb{Z}_p^* = \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\} & \\
 \left. \begin{array}{l} E = m \cdot a^r \text{ mod } p \\ D = g^r \text{ mod } p \end{array} \right\} c = (E, D) & \text{C: is able to decrypt } \\
 (-x) \text{ mod } (p-1) = (0 - x) \text{ mod } (p-1) = & c = (E, D) \text{ using her } Prk_A = x \\
 = (p-1 - x) \text{ mod } (p-1) & \left. \begin{array}{l} 1. D^{-x} \text{ mod } (p-1) \\ 2. E \cdot D^{-x} \text{ mod } p = m \end{array} \right\}
 \end{array}$$

```

>> m1=2000
m1 = 2000
>> n1=mod_exp(g,m1,p)
n1 = 28125784
>> i1 = int64(randi(p-1))
i1 = 237208612
>> a_i1=mod_exp(a,i1,p)
a_i1 = 225539744
>> E1a=mod(n1*a_i1,p)
E1a = 236913646
>> D1a=mod_exp(g,i1,p)
D1a = 157143772

```

```

>> m2=3000
m2 = 3000
>> n2=mod_exp(g,m2,p)
n2 = 222979214
>> i2 = int64(randi(p-1))
i2 = 202826700
>> a_i2=mod_exp(a,i2,p)
a_i2 = 89867164
>> E2a=mod(n2*a_i2,p)
E2a = 243880762
>> D2a=mod_exp(g,i2,p)
D2a = 12682290

```

```

>> E12a=mod(E1a*E2a,p)
E12a = 103086800
>> D12a=mod(D1a*D2a,p)
D12a = 239766142

```

C12a

```

>> m3=1000
m3 = 1000
>> n3=mod_exp(g,m3,p)
n3 = 260099963
>> i3 = int64(randi(p-1))

```

```

>> m4=4000
m4 = 4000
>> n4=mod_exp(g,m4,p)
n4 = 246637967
>> i4 = int64(randi(p-1))
i4 = 225960178

```

```

>> E34beta=mod(E3beta*E4beta,p)
E34beta = 84122191
>> D34beta=mod(D3beta*D4beta,p)
D34beta = 198671542

```

C34beta

```

<-- n3=mod_exp(g,n3,p)
n3 = 260099963
>> i3 = int64(randi(p-1))
i3 = 158270313
>> beta_i3=mod_exp(beta,i3,p)
beta_i3 = 152293358
>> E3beta=mod(n3*beta_i3,p)
E3beta = 239879038
>> D3beta=mod_exp(g,i3,p)
D3beta = 226571899
n4 = 246637967
>> i4 = int64(randi(p-1))
i4 = 225960178
>> beta_i4=mod_exp(beta,i4,p)
beta_i4 = 28521928
>> E4beta=mod(n4*beta_i4,p)
E4beta = 214072649
>> D4beta=mod_exp(g,i4,p)
D4beta = 229603826

```

At: must prove that ciphertexts c_{120} and $c_{34\beta}$ encrypted the same textogram $n = n_{12} = n_{34}$

$$\text{balance} = (m_1 + m_2) \bmod (p-1) = (m_3 + m_4) \bmod (p-1)$$

Proof. 1) $i_{34} = (i_3 + i_4) \bmod (p-1)$

$$>> i34=\text{mod}(i3+i4,p-1)$$

$$i34 = 115795473$$

2) At proves to the Net that she knows her $\text{PrK}_A = x$ by declaring her $\text{PuK}_A = \alpha$ using $NIZKP$.

3) At proves to the Net that she knows her random parameter $i_{34} = (i_3 + i_4) \bmod (p-1)$ for n_3 and n_4 encryption. Random parameters i_3 and i_4 must be secret otherwise encrypted values n_3 and n_4 can be decrypted without α knowledge of her $\text{PrK} = x$.

For example. Let i_3 is known to the Net. Then by having $E_{3\beta}$ one can decrypt n_3 :

a) the inverse element of $i_3 \bmod (p-1)$ is computed and having $-i_3 \bmod (p-1)$, $E_{3\beta}$ is multiplied by $\beta^{-i_3} \bmod p$.

$$\begin{aligned} nn_3 &= E_{3\beta} * \beta^{-i_3} \bmod p = n_3 * \beta^{i_3} * \beta^{-i_3} \bmod p = n_3 \beta^{i_3 - i_3} \bmod p = \\ &= n_3 \beta^0 \bmod p = n_3. \end{aligned}$$

$$>> mi3 = \text{mod}(-i_3, p-1)$$

$$>> beta_mi3 = \text{mod_exp}(\beta, mi3, p)$$

$$>> nn3 = \text{mod}(E3beta * alpha_mi3, p)$$

```

>> mi3=mod(-i3,p-1)
mi3 = 110164705
>> mod(i3+mi3,p-1)
ans = 0

>> beta_mi3=mod_exp(beta,mi3,p)
beta_mi3 = 150721861
>> nn3=mod(E3beta*beta_mi3,p)
nn3 = 260099963
>> n3 = int64(260099963)
n3 = 260099963

```

Till this place

However, the scheme presented above is insufficient to realize a proof of ciphertext equivalency. We propose the modification of the existing NIZKPK to realize two ciphertext equivalency proofs, namely $C_{a,I}$ in (18), (19), and $C_{b,E}$ in (20), (21). Recall that $C_{a,I}$ is a ciphertext of plaintext I encryption with Alice's $\text{PuK} = a$ and $C_{b,E}$ is a ciphertext of plaintext E encryption with the AA's $\text{PuK} = b$. The statement S_I of our proposed NIZKPK consists of the following:

$$S_I = \{(e_{a,I}, t, \delta_{a,I}), (e_{b,E}, \delta_{b,E}), a, b\}. \quad (22)$$

The random integers $u \leftarrow \text{rand}(Z_p)$ and $v \leftarrow \text{rand}(Z_q)$ are generated by Alice, and the value $(-v) \bmod q$ is computed. The proof of ciphertext equivalence is computed using three computation steps:

1. The following commitments are computed:

$$t_1 = g^u \bmod p; \quad (23)$$

$$t_2 = g^v \bmod p; \quad (24)$$

$$t_3 = (\delta_{a,I})^u \cdot \beta^{-v} \bmod p. \quad (25)$$

2. The following h -value is computed using the cryptographically secure h -function H :

$$h = H(a||b||t_1||t_2||t_3). \quad (26)$$

3. Alice, having her $\text{PrK}_A = x$ randomly generates the secret number l for E encryption and computes the following two values:

$$r = x \cdot h + u \bmod q; \quad (27)$$

$$s = l \cdot h + v \bmod q. \quad (28)$$

Then Alice declares the following set of data to the Net:

$$\{a, b, t_1, t_2, t_3, r, s\} \rightarrow \text{Net}. \quad (29)$$

To verify the transaction's validity, the Net computes the h -value according to (26) and then verifies three identities:

$$g^r = a^h \cdot t_1; \quad (30)$$

$$g^s = (\delta_{b,E})^h \cdot t_2; \quad (31)$$

$$(e_{b,E})^h \cdot (e_{a,I})^{-h} \cdot (\delta_{a,I})^r \cdot \beta^{-s} = t_3. \quad (32)$$